

## **RatingsDirect**®

#### **Summary:**

### Ratings On Four Florida Community Development Districts Affirmed; Special Assessments

#### **Primary Credit Analyst:**

Kimberly Barrett, Centennial (1) 303-721-4446; Kimberly Barrett@spglobal.com

#### **Secondary Contact:**

Randy T Layman, Centennial 303-721-4109; randy.layman@spglobal.com

#### **Table Of Contents**

Rationale

Outlook

Related Research

#### **Summary:**

# Ratings On Four Florida Community Development Districts Affirmed; Special Assessments

Credit Profile		
Heritage Landing Comnty Dev Dist SPCLASMT		
Long Term Rating	BBB/Stable	Affirmed
Turnbull Creek Comnty Dev Dist SPCLASMT		
Long Term Rating	BBB/Stable	Affirmed
Turnbull Creek Comnty Dev Dist SPCLASMT		
Long Term Rating	BBB/Stable	Affirmed
Waters Edge Comnty Dev Dist SPCLASMT		
Long Term Rating	BBB+/Stable	Affirmed
Dupree Lakes Comnty Dev Dist		
Unenhanced Rating	BBB+(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed

Many issues are enhanced by bond insurance.

#### Rationale

S&P Global Ratings affirmed its underlying ratings (SPURs) and long-term ratings on four Florida community development districts (CDDs), one of which has two separate assessment areas and associated series of bonds. A pledge and lien on special assessments secure all the bonds. The outlook is stable.

We affirmed the ratings on the following bond series:

- Dupree Lakes CDD, series 2015 (BBB+/Stable);
- Heritage Landing CDD, series 2015 (BBB/Stable);
- Turnbull Creek CDD, series 2015A-1 and 2015B-1 (BBB/Stable); and
- Waters Edge CDD, series 2015A-1 (BBB+/Stable).

Special assessments are levied to match debt service payments with very limited excess cash flow, so the debt service reserve (DSR) is an important security feature that provides additional liquidity if assessments are not received in full or on time. The four obligors maintain DSRs, funded with different combinations of cash or bond proceeds and investment grade surety bond policies. In our opinion, the DSR provider's investment-grade credit quality mitigates the risk regarding the liquidity's availability should it be needed for debt service payments, and stabilizes a security feature we consider essential to maintaining investment-grade ratings on these bonds.

Securing the bonds are non-ad valorem special assessments imposed and levied on benefited land parcels within each CDD and collected by the respective county in which each district is located.

#### **Dupree Lakes CDD**

The district is in Pasco County, just north of Tampa, which is easily accessible via Interstate-75 and the Suncoast Parkway. Securing the bonds are 664 residential parcels within the 465 acre development. The CDD's cash flow is adequate, with net collections providing 1.0x annual debt service coverage. The district can sustain the permanent loss of 3.3% of payers per year and still cover debt service through maturity. Its primary credit strengths are its good overall VTL ratio of 16-to-1 and the fully-developed status of its residential assessment base. Based on the most recent assessment roll, the CDD's market value is \$144.9 million, with a median home market value of \$200,081. The assessment base is not concentrated, because the leading 10 payers account for 3.7% of the total assessment levy.

#### **Heritage Landing CDD**

Heritage Landing is on 597 acres in northeast St. Johns County, approximately 37 miles southeast of downtown Jacksonville and three miles north of St. Augustine. We consider St. John's county economic metrics strong, with a median household effective buying income of 121.6% of the U.S and unemployment is 3.7%. Composed of 1,154 fully-developed units (1,106 of which the series 2015 debt service assessments are levied on), the district has a sufficient debt service coverage of 1x MADS. The CDD can cover the loss of the top 10 assessment payers for five years and only lose 2.6% of assessments annually and cover debt service through maturity, which we consider weak. The district has a direct VTL ratio of 14-to-1, and an overall VTL of 13-to-1, with a total assessed value of \$189.87 million in 2017. Assessment payer concentration is moderate, with the top 10 payers representing 10.2% of total assessments. The median home value for the district is low at \$167,353.

#### Turnbull Creek CDD

The series 2015A bonds have a senior-subordinate structure, but we rate only the 2015A-1 senior bonds. Securing the bonds are special assessments levied on 725 residential parcels (excluding one pre-payment) within the development, which encompasses 499 acres in St. Johns County. The district is roughly 28 miles southeast of Jacksonville, and 11 miles northwest of St. Augustine. Primary credit strengths include the assessment area's very strong cash flows and annual debt service coverage of 1.27x--consistent with the senior-subordinate cash flow structure. This allows the CDD to sustain the loss of the top 10 assessment payers, as well as the permanent loss of 24.2% of payers annually, and still cover debt service through maturity, which we consider very strong. Its overall VTL ratio--inclusive of overlapping county and school district debt--is adequate, in our view, at 12-to-1. The assessment area is 96% vertically developed, with 32 parcels remaining undeveloped in our view, characterized by a VTL ratio under 5-to-1. Based upon the most recent tax roll, the 2015A assessment area's market value is \$170.6 million, with a median home market value of \$236,027. The assessment area has low concentration, in our opinion, with the leading 10 payers accounting for just over 8% of the total levy. Delinquency rates have remained low in recent years, averaging 0.8% over the past three years, with no unsold tax certificates.

The series 2015B bonds also have a senior-subordinate structure; we rate only the 2015B-1 bonds. Securing the bonds are special assessments levied on all 957 residential parcels (excluding two pre-payments) within the development. Primary credit strengths include the CDD's very strong cash flow, with annual debt service coverage of 1.25x, consistent with the 2015B senior-subordinate cash flow structure and allowing the district to cover the loss of the top 10 assessment payers through maturity. In addition, the district can sustain the permanent loss of 25.4% of payers annually and still cover debt service through maturity, which we consider very strong. Its overall VTL ratio is

adequate, in our view, at 12-to-1. The district is 97% vertically developed overall, with the 32 parcels representing all undeveloped exposure. Based upon the most recent tax roll, its market value is \$221.6 million, with a median home market value of \$232,129. The assessment area has low concentration, in our opinion, with the leading 10 payers accounting for 7.8% of the total levy. Delinquency rates have remained low in recent years, averaging 0.8% over the past three years, with no unsold tax certificates.

#### Waters Edge CDD

The district is in Pasco County, near New Port Richey, close to State Road 52 and the Suncoast Parkway, which provides easy access to Tampa. Securing the bonds are 1,067 residential parcels (excluding two pre-payments) within the 702 acre development. The district's cash flow is relatively strong, with net collections providing 1.05x annual debt service coverage. The CDD can sustain the permanent loss of 7.9% of payers annually and still cover debt service through maturity. Other credit strengths include the district's good overall VTL ratio of 14-to-1 and the fully-developed status of its residential assessment base. Based on the most recent assessment roll, the district's market value is \$197.4 million, with a median home market value of \$191,112. The assessment base is moderately concentrated, as the leading 10 payers account for 9.06% of the total assessment levy.

#### Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our belief that special-assessment collections will be sufficient to pay debt service on the bonds during the two-year outlook horizon. We do not expect to raise or lower the ratings over the two-year outlook horizon.

#### Upside scenario

Factors that we would consider for an upgrade include improved direct and overall value-to-lien (VTL) ratios, continued growth in market values and the local economy, and greater assessment payer diversity. However, we believe the bonds' narrow revenue streams and the districts' lack of revenue-raising flexibility limit the upward rating potential.

#### Downside scenario

If market values in the district decline and result in lower overall VTL ratios, assessment payer concentration increases, or delinquencies rise and demand for tax certificates fall, we could consider lowering the rating.

#### **Related Research**

Special Assessment Bond Ratings Are Trending Up As The U.S. Economic Recovery Continues, March 28, 2016

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.globalcreditportal.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on the S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box

Summary: Ratings On Four Florida Community Development Districts Affirmed; Special Assessments		
la cata dia tha laft achunu		
located in the left column.		

Copyright © 2017 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.