



## Fitch Rates Manatee County School Board, FL's \$150MM Sales Tax Revs at 'A-'; Outlook Stable

Fitch Ratings-New York-23 January 2017: Fitch Ratings has assigned an 'A-' rating to the following debt to be issued by the Manatee County School Board, FL:

--\$150 million sales tax revenue bonds, series 2017.

The bonds are scheduled to sell on a negotiated basis on or about Jan. 31. Bond proceeds will be used to finance various school construction projects.

The Rating Outlook is Stable.

### SECURITY

The sales tax revenue bonds are payable from the proceeds of the half-cent school capital outlay sales surtax collected within Manatee County and distributed to the district by the State of Florida Department of Revenue.

### KEY RATING DRIVERS

The 'A-' rating on the sales tax revenue bonds is capped by the district's Issuer Default Rating (IDR), which is 'A-/Stable Outlook. Pledged revenues provide solid debt service coverage, expected growth prospects and resilience through a moderate economic downturn scenario.

**RATING CAPPED BY DISTRICT IDR:** Fitch establishes a rating cap on the sales tax revenue bonds equal to the district IDR. The rating cap reflects Fitch's view that pledged revenues would not be viewed as special revenues under the Chapter 9 federal bankruptcy code and may be vulnerable to impairment in a bankruptcy.

**SOUND COVERAGE LEVELS:** Fiscal 2016 revenues continued to provide sound coverage of maximum annual debt service (MADS) when evaluated

again the worst consecutive historical revenue decline and output of the Fitch Analytical Sensitivity Tool (FAST), which indicates potential revenue loss in a moderate economy downturn. Pledge revenues have notably recovered since the great recession; sales tax revenue growth is expected to continue at a solid pace going forward.

#### Economic Resource Base

The Manatee County School Board is coterminous with Manatee County (IDR 'AAA'/ Stable Outlook), encompassing 740 square miles on the Florida Gulf Coast. The school board operates 53 schools and has experienced relatively steady growth in enrollments. Population growth has been robust with a 2015 population of 363,369, up 12% since the 2010 census. The local economy is diversified among services, retail, manufacturing, agriculture and tourism. Unemployment levels have improved from its recessionary peak with current rates below the state and nation. Wealth levels exceed the state average but trail the nation. Assessed values have experience significant growth in the past few years, benefiting from the recovering economy and rising residential home values. Two major road projects are expected to bolster the economy and spur additional development.

#### Revenue Framework: 'a' factor assessment

The district's revenue framework reflects its favorable revenue growth prospects driven by continued expansion of its population and enrollment. The positive growth factors are tempered by the district's dependence on state-determined revenues and the absence of independent revenue raising ability.

#### Expenditure Framework: 'a' factor assessment

The district's instructional costs are the major driver of spending. The district has been constrained by the state class size requirements which have been a source of pressure with rising enrollments. Fixed costs associated with debt and retiree benefit liabilities are moderate.

#### Long-Term Liability Burden: 'aaa' factor assessment

The district's liability burden should remain low given manageable debt plans, rapid debt repayment and its participation in the adequately funded state pension plan.

### Operating Performance: 'bbb' factor assessment

The district has experienced a period of recurring net operating deficits that resulted in a notable decline in reserves. District management has enacted measures to control costs, improve internal controls and more accurately monitor budgetary spending, which has resulted in the restoration of fund balance providing for enhanced gap closing ability going forward.

### RATING SENSITIVITIES

DISTRICT IDR CAP: The sales tax revenue bond rating is sensitive to shifts in the district's general quality as expressed in the IDR. Given the rating cap of the IDR, it is unlikely that pledged revenue performance will result in a downgrade.

### CREDIT PROFILE

#### Sound Sales Tax Coverage; Sales Tax Levy Extended Through 2032

Fiscal 2016 sales tax revenues of approximately \$30 million provided coverage of MADS on outstanding plus series 2017 debt by 2.4x. In November 2016, county residents approved an extension of the existing school capital outlay discretionary surtax levy which was previously set to expire on Dec. 31, 2017. With the extension, the additional bonds test (ABT) was strengthened to 1.5x from 1.25x.

The tax levy will continue for an additional 15 years, through Dec. 31, 2032. The series 2017 bonds will be issued on parity with the district's existing series 2005 and series 2012 bonds, which will be repaid on Oct. 1, 2017. The final maturity on the series 2017 sales tax revenue bond is Oct. 1, 2032, two months prior to the expiration of the tax.

#### Pledged Revenues Demonstrate Solid Growth Prospects and Resilience

Sales tax revenues grew at a 2.7% compound annual growth rate (CAGR) from fiscal 2006 to fiscal 2016, a level that exceeded the rate of inflation but trailed national GDP growth. Fitch believes the pace of revenue growth will remain above the rate of inflation, consistent with an 'aa' assessment.

To evaluate the sensitivity of the pledged revenue stream, Fitch considers both the revenue sensitivity results (using a 1% decline in a national GDP stress scenario) and the largest consecutive revenue decline. Based on fiscal 2003 to fiscal 2014 revenue history, FAST generates a 3.6% revenue decline in a moderate economic downturn scenario. The largest consecutive decline of historical revenues was 14.8% from fiscal 2008 to fiscal 2010, reflecting the outsized impact of the great recession on the Florida economy. Sales tax revenue collections have since recovered, with a 5.6% CAGR from fiscal 2011 through fiscal 2016.

Based on the current debt service coverage level, the structure could tolerate roughly a 58% decline in revenues before MADS coverage would reach 1x. This level of tolerance is equivalent to about 16x of the FAST results and nearly 4x the largest consecutive revenue decline during the review period. These results are consistent with an 'aaa' assessment. Assuming leverage to the ABT, pledged revenues could tolerate a 33% decline before reaching 1x MADS coverage, which would be equal to 9x the FAST result or 2x the largest revenue decline consistent with an 'a' resilience assessment. Fitch does not believe this level of issuance is likely, as the district has no debt plans and could issue a sizable amount without reaching the ABT. Therefore absent the IDR cap, Fitch believes the structure could support a higher rating.

#### Issuing Entity Exposure

The ratings on the sales tax revenue bonds are capped by the IDR of the district, as Fitch believes the pledged revenues do not constitute special revenues under Chapter 9 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code.

For more information about the district's IDR, please see the press release dated Sept. 13, 2016 at [www.fitchratings.com](http://www.fitchratings.com).

Contact:

Primary Analyst

Grace Wong

Director

+1-212-908-0652

Fitch Ratings, Inc.

33 Whitehall Street  
New York, NY 10004

Secondary Analyst  
Kevin Dolan  
Director  
+1-212-908-0538

Committee Chairperson  
Amy Laskey  
Managing Director  
+1-212-908-0568

Media Relations: Elizabeth Fogerty, New York, Tel: +1 (212) 908 0526, Email: [elizabeth.fogerty@fitchratings.com](mailto:elizabeth.fogerty@fitchratings.com).

Additional information is available at '[www.fitchratings.com](http://www.fitchratings.com)'.

In addition to the sources of information identified in Fitch's applicable criteria specified below, this action was informed by information from Lumesis and InvestorTools.

**Applicable Criteria**

U.S. Tax-Supported Rating Criteria (pub. 18 Apr 2016)  
(<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/879478>)

**Additional Disclosures**

Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form  
([https://www.fitchratings.com/creditdesk/press\\_releases/content/ridf\\_frame.cfm?pr\\_id=1017918&cft=0](https://www.fitchratings.com/creditdesk/press_releases/content/ridf_frame.cfm?pr_id=1017918&cft=0))  
Solicitation Status ([https://www.fitchratings.com/gws/en/disclosure/solicitation?pr\\_id=1017918](https://www.fitchratings.com/gws/en/disclosure/solicitation?pr_id=1017918))  
Endorsement Policy (<https://www.fitchratings.com/regulatory>)

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS  
AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND

DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK:

[HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings) (<https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings>). IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT [WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM](http://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM). PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2017 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of

the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed. The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from

US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001

**Endorsement Policy** - Fitch's approach to ratings endorsement so that ratings produced outside the EU may be used by regulated entities within the EU for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU Regulation with respect to credit rating agencies, can be found on the EU Regulatory Disclosures (<https://www.fitchratings.com/regulatory>) page. The endorsement status of all International ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for all structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.